Serial No.: 09/900,127

Amendment Dated: August 19, 2003 Reply to Office Action of June 12, 2003

REMARKS/ARGUMENTS

Reconsideration of the present application is hereby requested in view of the above amendments and following remarks.

The disclosure has been amended as required by the Examiner to provide the continuing data for this application at the beginning of the specification.

Claims 108-110 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(a) as being anticipated by De Bastiani et al (U.S. 5,749,292). Claims 108 and 110 have been cancelled.

Claim 109, has been amended, and is directed to a method of screen printing and is based on the allowable subject matter of claim 35. It is therefore urged that this claim should now be found allowable.

The rejection of De Bastiani '292 in view of the current form of claim 109 is not felt to be well taken, in that the process set forth by De Bastiani does not include the novel method sequence now recited in claim 109. It is therefore urged that this rejection be reconsidered and withdrawn, and the instant application passed to issue at an early date.

It is noted that the remaining claims in the application i.e., claims 35-107 have been indicated as being allowable, and therefore it is felt that in view of the above amendments and remarks, that the present application is now in condition for allowance.

Applicant believes that no fees are due on account of the submission of this paper. However, if Applicant is incorrect and fees are due, the Director is hereby authorized to charge any fees associated with this communication to Deposit Account No. 50-0289.

Respectfully submitted,

WALL MARJAMA & BILINSKI LLP

Owen D. Marjama Reg. No. 22,818

ODM/cmh

Telephone: (315) 425-9000

Customer No.: 20874